

Abstract Type: Poster exhibition Abstract Submission No.: A-0170

Abstract Topic: Dialysis

Does universal Kt/V target work for all? Gender-specific approach to find the optimal dialysis adequacy: A Korean nationwide cohort study

Hyuk Huh¹, Do Hyoung Kim¹, Hyang yun Lee¹, Hayne Cho Park¹, Jinseog Kim, Young-Ki Lee¹
¹Department of Internal Medicine-Nephrology, Kangnam Sacred Heart Hospital, Korea, Republic of
²Department of Department of Bigdata and Applied Statistics, Dongguk University, Korea, Republic of

Objectives : Clinical guidelines recommend a single-pool Kt/V of 1.2–1.4 per session, but gender-specific target values remain undefined. This study evaluated the association between Kt/V and mortality in Korean maintenance hemodialysis (HD) patients.

Methods: We used HD Quality Assessment and National Health Insurance Service claims data from October through December 2015. A total of 29,349 patients who participated in the 2015 HD Quality Assessment were included in the study. Patients were categorized by Kt/V: <1.2, 1.2-1.4, 1.4-1.7, and \geq 1.7. The association between Kt/V and all-cause mortality was assessed using a Cox proportional hazards model. In the Cox analysis, the Kt/V range of 1.2–1.4 was defined as the reference group.

Results : Among the total patients, 17,171 (58.5%) were male, and 9,178 (41.5%) were female. The mean follow-up period was 53.8 ± 23.0 months. The mean Kt/V was 1.44 ± 0.22 in men and 1.71 ± 0.27 in women. Higher Kt/V was associated with reduced mortality, with a greater effect in women (hazard ratio [HR] 0.66, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.58-0.75 per 0.1 Kt/V) than in men (HR 0.80, 95% CI 0.70-0.90 per 0.1 Kt/V). In male, a Kt/V <1.2 was associated with an increased mortality (HR 1.25, 95% CI 1.14-1.37) compared to the reference group, whereas no significant difference in mortality was observed for Kt/V \ge 1.4. In female, a Kt/V \ge 1.4 was associated with a lower mortality (1.4-1.7, HR 0.86, 95% CI 0.78-0.96; \ge 1.7, HR 0.73, 95% CI 0.65-0.82) compared to the reference group, while no significant difference in mortality was observed for Kt/V <1.2.

Conclusions : The study found that a Kt/V \geq 1.2 was associated with improved survival in men, and a Kt/V \geq 1.4 was associated with better survival in women. This study provides real-world evidence that the optimal Kt/V varies based on gender. Further research is needed to elucidate the rationale for gender-specific Kt/V targets.

Figure1_KtV_Male_mortality.png

Table 1. Relative risk of mortality and Kt/V in male patients

	Unadjusted		Model 1*		Model 2†		Model 3‡	
	HR	P value						
	(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)	
< 1.2	1.08	0.086	1.26	<0.001	1.28	<0.001	1.25	<0.001
	(0.99~1.18)		(1.15~1.38)		(1.17~1.40)		(1.14~1.37)	
1.2-1.4	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
1.4-1.7	1.12	<0.001	0.95	0.079	0.96	0.128	0.96	0.209
	(1.06~1.18)		(0.90~1.01)		(0.90~1.01)		(0.91~1.02)	
≥ 1.7	1.20	<0.001	0.960	0.366	0.98	0.673	0.99	0.852
	(1.10~1.31)		(0.88~1.05)		(0.90~1.07)		(0.91~1.08)	

^{*}Model 1: adjusted age, sex, dialysis vintage, and body mass index

†Model 2: adjusted Model 1 + history of diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, heart failure, cerebrovascular accident, and atrial fibrillation

CI, confidence interval; HD, hemodialysis; HR, hazard ratio.

Figure1_KtV_Male_mortality.png
Table 2. Relative risk of mortality and Kt/V in female patients

	Unadjusted		Model 1*		Model 2†		Model 3‡	
	HR	P value						
	(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)	
< 1.2	1.05	0.663	1.08	0.520	1.04	0.723	0.99	0.957
	(0.83~1.34)		(0.85~1.37)		(0.82~1.32)		(0.78~1.26)	
1.2-1.4	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
1.4-1.7	0.88	0.021	0.86	0.005	0.85	0.003	0.86	0.008
	(0.79~0.98)		(0.77~0.95)		(0.76~0.95)		(0.78~0.96)	
≥ 1.7	0.80	<0.001	0.697	<0.001	0.72	<0.001	0.73	<0.001
	(0.72~0.89)		(0.62~0.78)		(0.65~0.81)		(0.65~0.82)	

^{*}Model 1: adjusted age, sex, dialysis vintage, and body mass index

†Model 2: adjusted Model 1 + history of diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, heart failure, cerebrovascular accident, and atrial fibrillation

CI, confidence interval; HD, hemodialysis; HR, hazard ratio.