



Abstract Type : Oral presentation

Abstract Submission No.: A-0658

Abstract Topic : Non-dialysis CKD

Association between Skeletal Muscle Mass and Clinical Outcomes of Chronic Kidney Disease

MISO HA¹, Jiyun Jung⁵, Jiin Park⁴, Yong Chul Kim², Jae Yoon Park³

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Korea, Republic of

²Department of Internal Medicine-Nephrology, Seoul National University Hospital, Korea, Republic of

³Department of Internal Medicine-Nephrology, Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital, Korea, Republic of

⁴Department of Internal Medicine-Nephrology, Kangwon National University Hospital, Korea, Republic of

⁵Department of Biostatistics, Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital, Korea, Republic of

Objectives : Sarcopenia is highly prevalent among patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and may contribute to the elevated risk of adverse clinical outcomes in this population. However, large-scale evidence examining the relationship between objectively quantified muscle mass and cardiorenal outcomes remains scarce. Therefore, we leveraged a large CKD cohort to quantify muscle mass and evaluate its associations with cardiorenal and mortality outcomes.

Methods : We conducted a retrospective cohort study of 10,125 adults with CKD who underwent abdominal computed tomography at Seoul National University Hospital between 2001 and 2023. Patients with end-stage malignancy at the time of CKD diagnosis were excluded. Skeletal muscle area (SMA) and muscle quality (NAMA, LAMA) were quantified at the L3 vertebral level using CT imaging. Outcomes included all-cause mortality, major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), $\geq 50\%$ decline in eGFR, and progression to end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Associations were evaluated using multivariable Cox proportional hazards models adjusted for demographic and clinical covariates.

Results : During a median follow-up of 8 years, Greater SMA/height² and NAMA/LAMA were independently associated with lower risks of all-cause mortality (adjusted HR 0.78 [95% CI 0.63–0.97] and 0.75 [0.60–0.93], respectively). Greater NAMA/LAMA was also associated with reduced risks of MACE (adjusted HR 0.62 [0.45–0.86]), $\geq 50\%$ eGFR decline (adjusted HR 0.76 [0.59–0.98]), and progression to ESRD (adjusted HR 0.51 [0.27–0.99]).

Conclusions : Greater muscle mass and better muscle quality were associated with lower risks of All-cause mortality, eGFR decline, and MACE incidence in CKD patients. In patients with CKD, CT-derived skeletal muscle assessment is a stronger biomarker than body weight or body mass index alone. Notably, Our results suggest that muscle quality may serve as potential risk stratification tool for Cardiorenal outcomes in the future management of CKD.

table1.png



Shaping Tomorrow's Nephrology: Insight-Driven Kidney Care

KSN SEOUL, KOREA
2026

The 46th Annual Meeting of the Korean Society of Nephrology



Jun 11(Thu) – 14 (Sun), 2026

COEX, Seoul, Korea

Table 1. The effects of muscle index (n=9468)

		Mortality				MACE			
		Unadjusted		Fully-adjusted		Unadjusted		Fully-adjusted	
		HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value
SMA/height ²	Q1								
	Q2	0.22 (0.14, 0.34)	<0.01	0.76 (0.45, 1.30)	0.323	0.88 (0.50, 1.56)	0.664	0.79 (0.40, 1.56)	0.489
	Q3	0.07 (0.04, 0.12)	<0.01	0.64 (0.36, 1.14)	0.132	0.62 (0.34, 1.12)	0.113	1.01 (0.51, 2.00)	0.979
	Q4	0.03 (0.01, 0.05)	<0.01	0.42 (0.22, 0.81)	<0.01	0.55 (0.30, 1.00)	0.051	1.09 (0.52, 2.31)	0.82
	SD Linear	0.24 (0.20, 0.29)	<0.001	0.78 (0.63, 0.97)	0.023	0.72 (0.58, 0.90)	0.004	0.99 (0.76, 1.30)	0.965
NAMA/height ²	Q1								
	Q2	0.24 (0.15, 0.37)	<0.01	0.97 (0.61, 1.56)	0.911	0.67 (0.40, 1.11)	0.118	1.28 (0.68, 2.40)	0.441
	Q3	0.07 (0.04, 0.12)	<0.01	0.66 (0.38, 1.13)	0.13	0.17 (0.09, 0.33)	<0.01	0.56 (0.28, 1.11)	0.095
	Q4	0.02 (0.01, 0.04)	<0.01	0.50 (0.28, 0.89)	0.018	0.25 (0.14, 0.48)	<0.01	0.67 (0.33, 1.36)	0.265
	SD Linear	0.21 (0.17, 0.26)	<0.001	0.71 (0.57, 0.88)	0.001	0.50 (0.40, 0.63)	<0.001	0.77 (0.60, 0.99)	0.045
LAMA/height ²	Q1								
	Q2	1.80 (0.94, 3.43)	0.075	1.06 (0.64, 1.76)	0.874	0.98 (0.44, 2.17)	0.954	1.54 (0.80, 2.96)	0.196
	Q3	3.68 (2.04, 6.63)	<0.01	1.18 (0.71, 1.95)	0.812	3.06 (1.52, 6.15)	<0.01	1.79 (0.91, 3.53)	0.092
	Q4	4.85 (2.73, 8.60)	<0.01	0.96 (0.61, 1.53)	0.522	3.91 (1.99, 7.69)	<0.01	2.11 (1.07, 4.14)	0.03
	SD Linear	1.53 (1.31, 1.78)	<0.001	1.17 (0.98, 1.39)	0.081	1.68 (1.40, 2.02)	<0.001	1.37 (1.10, 1.71)	0.005
NAMA/LAMA	Q1								
	Q2	0.24 (0.16, 0.38)	<0.01	0.65 (0.41, 1.03)	0.065	0.35 (0.21, 0.60)	<0.01	1.13 (0.63, 2.01)	0.682
	Q3	0.11 (0.06, 0.18)	<0.01	0.79 (0.49, 1.29)	0.353	0.23 (0.13, 0.43)	<0.01	1.01 (0.55, 1.87)	0.966
	Q4	0.04 (0.02, 0.08)	<0.01	0.49 (0.29, 0.84)	0.010	0.16 (0.08, 0.31)	<0.01	0.52 (0.26, 1.02)	0.056
	SD Linear	0.15 (0.11, 0.20)	<0.001	0.75 (0.60, 0.93)	0.009	0.36 (0.26, 0.51)	<0.001	0.62 (0.45, 0.86)	0.004

table1.png

Table 2. The effects of muscle index (n=6912)

		eGFR 50% reduction				ESRD			
		Unadjusted		Fully-adjusted		Unadjusted		Fully-adjusted	
		HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value
SMA/height ²	Q1								
	Q2	0.54 (0.38, 0.79)	<0.01	1.23 (0.66, 2.31)	0.51	1.08 (0.70, 1.65)	0.729	1.15 (0.22, 5.98)	0.868
	Q3	0.54 (0.38, 0.79)	<0.01	1.00 (0.52, 1.92)	0.99	0.94 (0.61, 1.45)	0.771	0.52 (0.12, 2.18)	0.369
	Q4	0.39 (0.26, 0.58)	<0.01	0.68 (0.33, 1.39)	0.287	1.13 (0.74, 1.74)	0.563	0.64 (0.12, 3.31)	0.593
	SD Linear	0.71 (0.62, 0.83)	<0.01	0.84 (0.65, 1.08)	0.176	1.04 (0.90, 1.21)	0.580	1.08 (0.69, 1.71)	0.726
NAMA/height ²	Q1								
	Q2	0.56 (0.39, 0.79)	<0.01	1.38 (0.73, 2.63)	0.321	1.18 (0.76, 1.84)	0.458	2.28 (0.49, 10.50)	0.29
	Q3	0.42 (0.29, 0.60)	<0.01	0.91 (0.47, 1.76)	0.785	1.34 (0.87, 2.07)	0.182	1.49 (0.34, 6.60)	0.596
	Q4	0.27 (0.18, 0.42)	<0.01	0.74 (0.36, 1.51)	0.401	1.29 (0.83, 1.99)	0.259	1.04 (0.20, 5.55)	0.959
	SD Linear	0.60 (0.52, 0.69)	<0.01	0.79 (0.61, 1.01)	0.058	1.09 (0.94, 1.27)	0.242	0.92 (0.57, 1.50)	0.747
LAMA/height ²	Q1								
	Q2	0.94 (0.60, 1.48)	0.805	1.67 (0.95, 2.91)	0.073	0.85 (0.56, 1.29)	0.455	2.71 (0.55, 13.34)	0.219
	Q3	1.73 (1.15, 2.61)	<0.01	0.90 (0.48, 1.70)	0.74	0.82 (0.54, 1.24)	0.342	3.90 (0.63, 24.02)	0.143
	Q4	2.27 (1.53, 3.37)	<0.01	1.29 (0.70, 2.36)	0.418	0.78 (0.51, 1.19)	0.241	2.41 (0.43, 13.41)	0.316
	SD Linear	1.36 (1.20, 1.54)	<0.01	1.11 (0.91, 1.36)	0.311	0.91 (0.78, 1.07)	0.253	1.27 (0.79, 2.05)	0.319
NAMA/LAMA	Q1								
	Q2	0.54 (0.38, 0.78)	<0.01	1.03 (0.56, 1.89)	0.933	1.18 (0.76, 1.83)	0.472	2.13 (0.47, 9.57)	0.323
	Q3	0.43 (0.29, 0.62)	<0.01	1.41 (0.77, 2.56)	0.266	1.26 (0.81, 1.95)	0.304	1.37 (0.35, 5.31)	0.651
	Q4	0.32 (0.21, 0.48)	<0.01	0.69 (0.36, 1.30)	0.247	1.26 (0.81, 1.94)	0.304	0.13 (0.02, 0.95)	0.045
	SD Linear	0.59 (0.49, 0.71)	<0.01	0.76 (0.59, 0.98)	0.035	1.13 (0.99, 1.29)	0.059	0.51 (0.27, 0.99)	0.045