

Abstract Submission No.: 1334

Efficacy and cost-effectiveness of darbepoetin alfa once every 4 weeks for the correction of anemia in patients with chronic kidney disease not on dialysis in Korea

Kyung Ho Lee, Geoneul Park, Moo Yong Park, Soo Jeong Choi, Jin Kuk Kim, Byung Chul Yu Department of Internal Medicine-Nephrology, Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital, Korea, Republic of

Objectives: No previous randomized controlled trial (RCT) have been reported examining once every 4 weeks (Q4W) administration of darbepoetin alfa in patients with chronic kidney disease. We report results from a RCT that compared darbepoetin alfa Q4W with continuous erythropoietin receptor activator (C.E.R.A.) Q4W for the correction of anemia and total cost of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) in patients with CKD not on dialysis nor receiving treatment with ESAs in Korea.

Methods: Forty-four patients were randomized (1:1) to receive either darbepoetin alfa Q4W or C.E.R.A. Q4W during a 12-week correction period and a 24-week evaluation period. Dosage was adjusted to maintain hemoglobin (Hb) between 10 and 11 g/dL. Two primary end points were assessed: changes in Hb concentration over time during evaluation period and total cost of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents by subject during study period.

Results: Patient baseline characteristics and risk factors were well balanced across both treatment groups. Changes in Hb concentration over time during evaluation period were not different between two groups (p = 0.577). Total cost of ESAs by subject during study period were lower in darbepoetin alfa group than C.E.R.A. group ($\mbox{$\frac{4}{3}$}\mbox{$\frac{4}{3}$}\mbox{$\frac{4}{3}$}\mbox{$\frac{9}{3}$}\mbox{$\frac{1}{3}$}$

Conclusions: Darbepoetin alfa Q4W was comparable in the correction of anemia and superior in terms of cost effectiveness compared with C.E.R.A. Q4W in Korea.

Baseline characteristic of the two groups



Table. Baseline characteristics of the two groups

| Variable | Mircera $(n = 22)$ | Nesp (n = 22) | p Value |
|---|--------------------|------------------|---------|
| Age (years) | 68.3 ± 11.9 | 67.2 ± 12.7 | 0.779 |
| Sex (male) | 8 (36.4%) | 8 (36.4%) | >0.999 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.7 ± 3.3 | 23.7 ± 5.4 | 0.984 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 12 (54.5%) | 15 (68.2%) | 0.353 |
| Hypertension | 21 (95.5%) | 22 (100.0%) | >0.999 |
| Stroke | 6 (27.3%) | 6 (27.3%) | >0.999 |
| Smoking | 3 (13.6%) | 6 (27.3%) | 0.457 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 131.0 ± 23.9 | 136.6 ± 14.9 | 0.361 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 65.6 ± 15.3 | 69.8 ± 13.6 | 0.343 |
| Baseline eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²) | 18.1 ± 7.0 | 22.0 ± 9.0 | 0.113 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 9.3 ± 0.4 | 9.2 ± 0.6 | 0.515 |
| Transferrin saturation (%) | 32.9 ± 13.0 | 33.3 ± 15.7 | 0.930 |
| Ferritin (ng/mL) | 479.8 ± 576.0 | 266.0 ± 166.9 | 0.115 |

Data are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation for continuous variables and n (%) for categorical variables. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

Comparison of Micera and Nesp

