

**Abstract Type : Oral presentation****Abstract Submission No.: A-0572****Abstract Topic : Dialysis****A Pragmatic In-Unit Protocol to Achieve Optimal Online Hemodiafiltration: A 2-Month Quality-Improvement Study**

**Dong Hoon Kang**, Hyo Jeong Kim, Jae Young Kim, Kyung Sook Park, Tae Ik Chang, Ea Wha Kang  
Department of Internal Medicine-Nephrology, National Health Insurance Service Ilsan Hospital, Korea, Republic of

**Objectives :** The CONVINCe trial highlighted the clinical importance of delivering high-dose online hemodiafiltration (HDF), reinforcing that achieving adequate convective dose is a key implementation gap in routine dialysis care. We evaluated whether a stepwise, dialysis-unit protocol could convert patients receiving suboptimal HDF to predefined optimal HDF targets.

**Methods :** Optimal HDF was defined as: (1) post-dilution HDF with convection volume >23 L/session or substitution volume >21 L/session, or (2) pre-dilution HDF with convection volume >46 L/session or substitution volume >44 L/session. Patients receiving suboptimal HDF underwent a 2-month protocol: (i) increase blood flow rate (BFR) to the maximally tolerable level (maximum 350 mL/min), (ii) escalate dialyzer pore characteristics with larger surface area when feasible, and (iii) weekly reassessment to confirm achievement of target convection volume.

**Results :** At baseline (N=106), 35 patients (33.0%) met criteria for optimal HDF; after 2 months (N=101), this increased to 50 (49.5%). Over the protocol period, key prescription parameters increased in both dilution modes. In pre-HDF, mean blood flow rate (BFR) increased from 261.9±23.4 to 285.0±30.6 mL/min and dialyzer surface area from 1.7±0.2 to 1.9±0.4 m<sup>2</sup>; in post-HDF, BFR increased from 275.7±29.2 to 306.3±40.5 mL/min and surface area from 2.0±0.2 to 2.2±0.3 m<sup>2</sup>. Convection volume increased from 40.2±16.0 to 50.1±21.5 L/session in pre-HDF and from 21.3±4.6 to 31.4±14.6 L/session in post-HDF.

**Conclusions :** A simple, weekly monitored protocol emphasizing maximally tolerable BFR and dialyzer escalation increased achievement of optimal HDF targets within 2 months in real-world practice.

table 1.png

Table 1. HDF optimization protocol

| Step | Intervention             | Target/ Rule                                                                                                                      | Weekly assessment                                                                                                 |
|------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0    | Patient selection        | Post-HDF: convection >23 L/session or substitution >21 L/session; Pre-HDF: convection >46 L/session or substitution >44 L/session | Baseline review; enroll eligible patients into protocol                                                           |
| 1    | Maximize blood flow rate | Titrate BFR to maximally tolerable level (+30mL/min/week, max 350 mL/min)                                                         | Record achieved BFR; if target* reached → maintain current settings, if target not reached → proceed to next step |
| 2    | Dialyzer escalation      | Stepwise escalation of dialyzer surface area                                                                                      | Record dialyzer type and surface area (m <sup>2</sup> ), if target* reached → maintain current settings           |
| 3    | Re-classification        | Assign Optimal vs Suboptimal HDF per prespecified criteria                                                                        | Reclassify based on achieved volumes; Record limiting factors                                                     |

\*Optimal HDF was defined as meeting either the convection-volume or substitution-volume target per dilution mode: post-dilution HDF convection volume >23 L/session or substitution volume >21 L/session; pre-dilution HDF convection volume >46 L/session or substitution volume >44 L/session.

table 1.png



Shaping Tomorrow's Nephrology: Insight-Driven Kidney Care

**KSN** SEOUL, KOREA  
**2026**

The 46th Annual Meeting of the Korean Society of Nephrology



Jun 11 (Thu) – 14 (Sun), 2026

COEX, Seoul, Korea

**Table 2. Effect of the 2-month protocol on achieving optimal HDF and key prescription metrics**

| Variable                           | Baseline (N=106) |                 | 2-months (N=101) |                 |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                                    | Pre HDF (N=43)   | Post HDF (N=63) | Pre HDF (N=12)   | Post HDF (N=89) |
| Optimal HDF*, N(%)                 | 12 (27.9%)       | 23 (36.5%)      | 6 (50.0%)        | 44 (49.4%)      |
| Blood flow rate, mL/min            | 261.9 ± 23.4     | 275.7 ± 29.2    | 285.0 ± 30.6     | 306.3 ± 40.5    |
| Dialyzer surface (m <sup>2</sup> ) | 1.7 ± 0.2        | 2.0 ± 0.2       | 1.9 ± 0.4        | 2.2 ± 0.3       |
| Substitution volume (L/session)    | 39.4 ± 16.6      | 19.0 ± 4.4      | 19.7 ± 5.9       | 29.0 ± 14.6     |
| UF volume (L/session)              | 1.9 ± 1.0        | 2.3 ± 1.0       | 2.1 ± 0.9        | 2.4 ± 1.2       |
| Convection volume (L/session)      | 40.2 ± 16.0      | 21.3 ± 4.6      | 21.8 ± 5.8       | 31.4 ± 14.6     |

\*Optimal HDF was defined as meeting either the convection-volume or substitution-volume target per dilution mode: post-dilution HDF convection volume >23 L/session or substitution volume >21 L/session; pre-dilution HDF convection volume >46 L/session or substitution volume >44 L/session.